Fax: 2123183400

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 19 2007

Docket No.: NY-LUD 5253-US5-DIV

(PATENT)

I hereby cartify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, on the date shown below.

Dated: 11/9/67

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Thierry Böon-Falleur et al.

Application No.: 08/819,669

Filed: March 17, 1997

For: TUMOR REJECTION, ANTIGEN PRECURSORS, TUMOR REJECTION ANTIGEN S AND USES THEREOF

Confirmation No.: 1995

Art Unit: 1644

Examiner: P. Gambel.

AMENDMENT

MS Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This is responsive to the action which issued in this case on October 23, 2007.

That action was an Advisory Action and is believed to be improper as set forth in concurrently filed papers.

It appears that the sole issue is a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(f). Applicants traverse.

50038712.1

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER NOV 1 6 2007

Docket.No.: NY-LUD 5253-US5-DIV (PATENT)

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office, facsimile no. (571) 273-8300, AND Examiner Philip Gambel at facsimile no. (571)273-0844 AND Supervisory Examiner Christina Chan at facsimile no. (571) 273-0841 AND Director John Leguyader pyracsimile no. (571)-273-8300, on the date shown below.

Dated: November 16, 2007

gnature: CMU (Fani Malii) ouzgrie

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Thierry Boon-Falleur et al.

Application No.: 08/819,669

Confirmation No.: 1995

Filed: March 17, 1997 ...

Art Unit: 1644

For: TUMOR REJECTION, ANTIGEN
PRECURSORS, TUMOR REJECTION
ANTIGEN S AND USES THEREOF

Examiner: P. Gambel

REQUEST THAT ADVISORY ACTION BE VACATED AND AN OFFICE ACTION BE SENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

The Communication of October 23, 2007, was an Advisory Action. It is believed that this is improper, and an Office Action should have issued.

The prior action in the case was a non-final rejection. Applicants filed a Notice of Appeal, as a matter of right, because the USPTO delayed in resolving the correction of inventorship issue.

50038666.1